

# APPENDIX 5

## QUALITY SCORING METHODOLOGY

### SCORING METHODOLOGY

Quality criteria responses will each be marked against the following scoring methodology

0	The Tenderer has given no response and/or if the response is not acceptable and/or does not cover the relevant heading/s.
1	There are major weaknesses or gaps in the information provided. The Tenderer displays poor understanding and there are major doubts about fitness for purpose. The approach to risk gives rise to major concerns. Major concerns about the Tenderer's experience and capability.
2	The proposal will in parts be sketchy with little or no detail given of how the Tenderer will meet the criteria. Information provided is considered weak or inappropriate and is unclear on how this relates to our requirements or the outputs/outcomes of the project. The approach to risk is not well supported and gives rise to concerns. Some concerns about understanding of the steps involved to deliver the aspects of the question posed, and/or the Tenderer's experience and capability.
3	The proposal has addressed the majority of our requirements but will lack some clarity or detail in how the proposed solutions will be achieved. Evidence provided, while giving generic or general statements, is not specifically directed toward the requirements or the outcomes/outputs of this project. The proposal demonstrates an acceptable approach to risk and clearly captures the understanding of the steps involved to deliver the aspects of the question posed, giving a reasonable level of confidence in the Tenderer's experience and capability.
4	The proposal has addressed, in some detail, all or the majority of our requirements. Evidence will have been provided to show not only what will be provided but will give some detail of how this will be achieved. It is clear how the proposals relate directly to the aims of the project and be specific, rather than general, in the way proposed solutions will deliver the desired outcomes and outputs. The proposal demonstrates an acceptable approach to risk and clearly captures the understanding of the steps involved to deliver the aspects of the question posed, giving a good level of confidence in the Tenderer's experience and capability.
5	As well as addressing all our requirements the Tenderer demonstrates a deep understanding of the project and / or may present innovative ideas (where appropriate). Proposals link directly to relevant project requirements, outcomes and outputs (as the case may be) and show how they will be delivered and the impact that they will have on other areas/stakeholders. Proposed solutions will deliver the desired outcomes and outputs. The proposal demonstrates little or no risk and fully captures the understanding of the steps involved to deliver the aspects of the question posed, giving a very high level of confidence in the Tenderer's experience and capability.